

RIPARIAN BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY IN THE LAKE TAHOE BASIN

**A FINAL REPORT
FOR THE CALIFORNIA TAHOE CONSERVANCY
AND THE U.S. FOREST SERVICE
RIPARIAN GRANT # CTA-3024**

BY

**PATRICIA N. MANLEY
AND
MATTHEW D. SCHLESINGER**

APRIL 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	ES-1
Table of Contents	iii
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	xiii
List of Appendices	xxxviii
Acknowledgements	xl
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
The Nature of Biological Diversity	1
Trends in Biological Diversity	7
Research Objectives	8
Orientation to the Chapters	11
Chapter 2: Study Area	12
Physical Environment of the Lake Tahoe Basin	12
Natural History of the Lake Tahoe Basin	13
Chapter 3: Lotic Methods and Inter-year Variation	18
Field Methods	18
Data Analysis	37
Inter-year Variation	47
Chapter 4: Environmental Characteristics	55
Results	55
Discussion	66
Chapter 5: Patterns of Bird Diversity	72
Results	72
Discussion	113
Chapter 6: Patterns of Mammal Diversity	120
Results	120
Discussion	156
Chapter 7: Patterns of Invertebrate Diversity	165
Results	165
Discussion	200
Chapter 8: Patterns of Vascular Plant Diversity	208
Results	208
Discussion	238

Chapter 9: Patterns of Macrofungi Diversity	245
Results	245
Discussion	265
Chapter 10: Cross-taxonomic Patterns of Diversity	270
Results	270
Discussion	282
Chapter 11: Biological Diversity in Lentic Riparian Ecosystems	290
Introduction	290
Methods	294
Results	307
Discussion	350
Chapter 12: Single-species Relationships in Lotic and Lentic Riparian Ecosystems	358
Introduction	358
Methods	361
Results	362
Discussion	379
Literature Cited	386
Appendices	417

LIST OF FIGURES

FIG. 1. The relative temporal and spatial scales of key ecological processes affecting biological diversity.	3
FIG. 2. Schematic of relationship between productivity and diversity.	9
FIG. 3. Location of the Lake Tahoe basin.	12
FIG. 4. Location of 20 watersheds and 80 reaches sampled in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	19
FIG. 5. Snag and log transects sampled in the Lake Tahoe basin.	23
FIG. 6. Location of point count stations at each reach in the Lake Tahoe basin.	25
FIG. 7. Point count sample periods by year and elevation band. Reaches were grouped into 2 elevation bands: low (< 2100 m) and high (≥ 2100 m). Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	26
FIG. 8. Location of Sherman live-traps at each reach for sampling small mammals in the Lake Tahoe basin.	28
FIG. 9. Sherman trap sampling dates by year and elevation band. Sample reaches were divided into 2 elevation bands: low (< 2100 m) and high (≥ 2100 m). Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	29
FIG. 10. Location of pitfall traps on each sample reach to sample invertebrates in the Lake Tahoe basin.	30
FIG. 11. Pitfall trap sampling periods by year and elevation band. Sample reaches were divided into 2 elevation bands: low (< 2100 m) and high (≥ 2100 m). Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	31
FIG. 12. Configuration of riparian searches on each reach sampled in the Lake Tahoe basin.	33
FIG. 13. Sample dates for riparian and channel searches by year and elevation band. Sample reaches were divided into 2 elevation bands: low (< 2100 m) and high (≥ 2100 m). Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	35
FIG. 14. The average values for 11 environmental variables in rank order by basin orientation. Axes demarcate rank values from 1 (lowest value) to 4 (highest value) across the 4 orientations.	65
FIG. 15. Generalized relationships between elevation, precipitation, and associated ambient temperature in the Lake Tahoe basin.	67

FIG. 16. Ten most frequently occurring bird species. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	72
FIG. 17. Threshold between meadow and bird species richness. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	75
FIG. 18. Total bird species richness relative to average abundance per point count on 80 stream reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	77
FIG. 19. Ten most abundant bird species and their contributions to total bird abundance on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	77
FIG. 20. Percent of sample reaches ($n = 80$) where each aqua-dependent bird species was observed. Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	83
FIG. 21. Percent of sample reaches ($n = 80$) where each riparian-meadow-associated bird species was observed. Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	84
FIG. 22. Percent of sample reaches ($n = 80$) where each upland-associated bird species was observed. Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	84
FIG. 23. Threshold between elevation and the richness of aqua-dependent bird species. Data were collected on 80 stream reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	88
FIG. 24. Threshold between meadow and the richness of riparian-meadow-associated bird species. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	89
FIG. 25. Threshold between channel gradient and the richness of riparian-meadow-associated bird species. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	89
FIG. 26. Threshold between canopy cover index (as measured by a spherical convex densiometer) and the richness of aqua-dependent bird species. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	92
FIG. 27. Threshold between lodgepole pine and the richness of riparian-meadow-associated bird species. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	92
FIG. 28. Frequency of occurrence of bird species. Data were collected on 80 stream reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	93

FIG. 29. Contribution of each of 8 environmental gradients to bird diversity of the Lake Tahoe basin. Total change in composition is shown, along with the composite contributions of alpha and beta diversity. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches, 1995 to 1996.	112
FIG. 30. Ten most frequently occurring mammal species on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	120
FIG. 31. Threshold between aspen–cottonwood and mammal species richness and in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	122
FIG. 32. Threshold between elevation and mammal species richness and in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	123
FIG. 33. Ten most abundant mammal species and their contribution to total mammal abundance.	124
FIG. 34. Aquatic-riparian-meadow–associated mammal species and their frequency of occurrence ($n = 80$ sample reaches) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	131
FIG. 35. Ten most frequent upland-associated mammal species and their frequency of occurrence ($n = 80$ sample reaches) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	131
FIG. 36. Threshold between aspen–cottonwood and the richness of aquatic-riparian-meadow–associated mammal species in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	134
FIG. 37. Threshold between canopy cover index (as measured by a spherical convex densiometer) and the richness of aquatic-riparian-meadow–associated mammal species in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	135
FIG. 38. Threshold relationship between aspen–cottonwood and the richness of upland-associated mammal species in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	136
FIG. 39. Threshold relationship between elevation and the richness of upland-associated mammal species in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	137
FIG. 40. Bivariate scattergram of channel gradient (%) and the richness of aquatic-riparian-meadow–associated mammal species on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	139
FIG. 41. Frequency of occurrence of mammal species detected on 80 stream reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	140
FIG. 42. Contribution of each of 8 environmental gradients to mammal diversity in the Lake Tahoe basin. Total change in composition is shown, along with the composite contributions of alpha and beta diversity. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches, 1995 to 1996.	156

FIG. 43. Ten most frequently occurring invertebrate families. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	166
FIG. 44. Threshold between meadow and the richness of invertebrate families. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	168
FIG. 45. Aquatic invertebrate families occurring on ≥ 5 sample reaches. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	171
FIG. 46. Semi-aquatic invertebrate families. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	171
FIG. 47. Threshold between precipitation and the richness of aquatic invertebrate families. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	176
FIG. 48. Threshold relationship between the richness of terrestrial invertebrate families and meadow. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	177
FIG. 49. Number of invertebrate families occurring in each of 4 frequency of occurrence intervals. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	179
FIG. 50. Contribution of each of 8 environmental gradients to invertebrate family diversity in the Lake Tahoe basin. Total change in composition is shown, along with the composite contributions of alpha and beta diversity. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in 1996.	199
FIG. 51. Plant species occurring on $> 75\%$ of all sample reaches. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	208
FIG. 52. Relationship between plant species richness and lodgepole pine in sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	211
FIG. 53. Relationship between plant species richness and aspen–cottonwood in sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	211
FIG. 54. Relationship between plant species richness and precipitation in sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	212
FIG. 55. Ten most frequently occurring aquatic-riparian-meadow–associated plant species in sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	214
FIG. 56. Ten most frequently occurring upland-associated plant species in sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	214
FIG. 57. Relationship between aquatic–riparian–meadow plant species richness and precipitation in sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	218

FIG. 58. Number of plant species occurring in each of 4 frequency of occurrence intervals. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	220
FIG. 59. Contribution of each of 9 environmental gradients to plant species diversity in the Lake Tahoe basin. Total change in composition is shown, along with the composite contributions of alpha and beta diversity. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in 1995 to 1996.	237
FIG. 60. Frequency of occurrence of 10 most frequent macrofungi. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	245
FIG. 61. Threshold relationship between subalpine conifer and the richness of lichen genera. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	250
FIG. 62. Number of macrofungi genera occurring in each of 4 frequency of occurrence intervals. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	251
FIG. 63. Contribution of each of 8 environmental gradients to macrofungi diversity of the Lake Tahoe basin. Total change in composition is shown, along with the composite contributions of alpha and beta diversity. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in 1995 and 1996.	265
FIG. 64. Relationship between invertebrate family richness and bird species richness at sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	271
FIG. 65. Relationship between plant species richness and bird species richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	273
FIG. 66. Relationship between plant species richness and mammal species richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	273
FIG. 67. Relationship between fungi genera richness and bird species richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	274
FIG. 68. Relationship between fungi genera richness and invertebrate family richness at sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	275
FIG. 69. Relationship between plant species richness and Lepidoptera genera richness at sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	276
FIG. 70. Relationship between fleshy fungi genera richness and plant species richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	276
FIG. 71. Relationship between lichen genera richness and invertebrate family richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	277

FIG. 72. Relationship between fleshy fungi genera richness and mammal species richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	277
FIG. 73. Relationship between lichen genera richness and mammal species richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	278
FIG. 74. Names, locations, and basin orientations of lentic sample units surveyed in 1997 and 1998 in the Lake Tahoe basin.	297
FIG. 75. Schematic of biological sampling conducted at each lake sampled in the Lake Tahoe basin.	301
FIG. 76. Schematic of biological sampling at wet meadows in the Lake Tahoe basin.	302
FIG. 77. Comparison of substrates along 3 m transects sampled within lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. (a) is the average proportion (± 1 SE) of transects dominated by each of 6 substrates and (b) is the frequency of each substrate.	309
FIG. 78. Composition of vegetation types within 50 m of sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin: (a) average proportion of area (± 1 SE) occupied by each vegetation type and (b) frequency of vegetation types.	311
FIG. 79. Composition of vegetation types within 200 m of lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin: (a) average proportion of area (± 1 SE) occupied by each vegetation type and (b) frequency of vegetation types.	312
FIG. 80. Rank order of basin orientations from lowest (1) to highest (4) in precipitation, percent slope, road density, bedrock, logs, and caddisfly and mayfly frequency. Data are from 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	314
FIG. 81. Distribution of sample units across intervals of road density index values (weighted km/ha) within 200 m of 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Road density index = $((8 * \text{highway km}) + (4 * \text{other paved road km}) + (2 * \text{dirt road km}) + \text{trail km}) / (\text{total area within 200 m})$.	318
FIG. 82. Relationship of road density index to elevation at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Road density index = $((8 * \text{highway km}) + (4 * \text{other paved road km}) + (2 * \text{dirt road km}) + \text{trail km}) / (\text{total area within 200 m})$.	319
FIG. 83. Average road density index (± 1 SE) for each of 4 basin orientations. Data are from 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Road density index = $((8 * \text{highway km}) + (4 * \text{other paved road km}) + (2 * \text{dirt road km}) + \text{trail km}) / (\text{total area within 200 m})$.	320
FIG. 84. Relationship between bird abundance and bird species richness at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	321

FIG. 85. Relationship between site bird diversity and total abundance at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	322
FIG. 86. The most frequently-occurring aquatic bird species at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	328
FIG. 87. The most frequently-occurring riparian–meadow bird species at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	328
FIG. 88. The most frequently-occurring upland bird species detected at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	329
FIG. 89. Relationship of aquatic bird species richness to elevation at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	333
FIG. 90. Relationship of aquatic bird species richness to floating and submerged log frequency at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	333
FIG. 91. Relationship of aquatic bird species richness to sample unit area (log scale) at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	334
FIG. 92. Relationship of riparian–meadow bird species richness to elevation at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	336
FIG. 93. Relationship of annual precipitation to aquatic bird abundance at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	340
FIG. 94. Relationship between proportion of cobbles in substrate and native amphibian species richness at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	345
FIG. 95. Relationship of littoral zone plant frequency to taxonomic richness at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	346
FIG. 96. Relationship of elevation to cowbird abundance at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Cowbird abundance is measured as the number of birds per point count per unit.	364
FIG. 97. Presence and absence of beaver sign by watershed in relation to average channel width of the watershed. Beavers were absent in 7 watersheds and present in 13 watersheds.	369
FIG. 98. Relationship between average channel width and watershed size for 80 sample reaches located in 20 watersheds in the Lake Tahoe basin.	369
FIG. 99. Relationship between the presence of beaver and watershed size in 20 watersheds in the Lake Tahoe basin.	370
FIG. 100. Relationship of sample unit depth (plotted on a logarithmic scale) to treefrog abundance at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	372

FIG. 101. Presence of Pacific treefrogs at sample units with and without trout present. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	372
FIG. 102. Presence of western toads at sample units with and without trout present. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	373
FIG. 103. Presence of long-toed salamanders at sample units with and without trout. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	374

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. Watersheds selected for sampling in the Lake Tahoe basin. Numbers in parentheses reflect the number of watersheds determined to be available for sampling (based on sampling criteria) in the basin.	18
TABLE 2. Reaches selected for sampling in each orientation and disturbance class within the Lake Tahoe basin.	20
TABLE 3. Stream reaches selected for inter-year comparison of riparian biota in the Lake Tahoe basin.	21
TABLE 4. Point count sampling dates in 1995 and 1996 on 8 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin. The dates span the 3 sample visits per reach.	27
TABLE 5. Pitfall trap sampling dates in 1995 and 1996 on 8 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin.	32
TABLE 6. Sample dates for sweepnet and riparian search sampling conducted at sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin. Bolded dates in 1995 are those closest to sample dates in 1996 for the corresponding reach, and indicate the 1995 visit used in inter-year comparisons.	36
TABLE 7. Environmental variables for lotic ecosystems used in analysis, including their metrics and the transformations used in principal components analysis and regressions. Dashes indicate no transformations were used.	38
TABLE 8. Biological diversity measures used to describe three facets of biological diversity in the Lake Tahoe basin.	39
TABLE 9. Biological variables used to describe sample reaches in the analysis of biological diversity of the Lake Tahoe basin. B = birds, M = mammals, I = invertebrates, P = vascular plants, and F = fungi.	41
TABLE 10. Taxonomic specificity and context used for interpreting taxonomic similarity between reaches, visits, and years for each data set and taxonomic group. All data sets using the species level include a few genera and families.	48
TABLE 11. Bird species richness (S) for each sample year (1995, 1996) for 8 sample reaches based on point count data from the Lake Tahoe basin.	49
TABLE 12. Invertebrate taxonomic richness (S) for each visit in 1995 and 1996. Missing data are indicated with a dash. Between year difference represents the difference between 1995 visit 1 and 1996. Data were collected in the Lake Tahoe basin.	49

TABLE 13. Invertebrate taxonomic richness for each visit to each reach sampled in 1995 and 1996, based on sweepnet data from the Lake Tahoe basin. Taxonomic richness is calculated for the 1995 visit that corresponds most closely to sample date in 1996.	50
TABLE 14. Taxonomic richness (S) of all vertebrates detected during riparian searches in the Lake Tahoe basin for each visit in each year, 1995 to 1996.	51
TABLE 15. Invertebrate family richness for each visit to each reach sampled in 1995 and 1996 based on riparian search data from the Lake Tahoe basin. Family richness is also shown for those visits in 1995 that were closest in month and day to the visit in 1996.	51
TABLE 16. Plant taxonomic richness (S) for each sample year (1995, 1996) and visit (1, 2) based on riparian search data from the Lake Tahoe basin.	52
TABLE 17. Taxonomic richness (S) of fungi based on riparian search data from the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	52
TABLE 18. Taxonomic richness (S) of all invertebrates detected from sweepnets, pitfall traps, and riparian searches on 8 reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	53
TABLE 19. Family richness (S) of all invertebrates detected from sweepnets, pitfall traps, and riparian searches on 8 reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	53
TABLE 20. Taxonomic richness (S) of all Lepidopterans detected from sweepnets, pitfall traps, and riparian searches on 8 reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	53
TABLE 21. Continuous variables describing the abiotic environment and channel characteristics at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	55
TABLE 22. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) among measures of abiotic and channel environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	56
TABLE 23. Principal components analysis of channel and abiotic environmental variables. Bolded values indicate variables most associated with the factor. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	56
TABLE 24. Frequency and abundance of vegetation classes across sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	57
TABLE 25. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) among the abundance values for vegetation classes. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	57

TABLE 26. Vegetation community principal components analysis for sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Variables were log-normal transformed. Bolded values indicate variables most associated with the factor.	58
TABLE 27. Correlations among woody debris variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.10$. Shading represents redundant cells. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	58
TABLE 28. Principal components analysis of snag and log densities on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Variables were log-normal transformed.	59
TABLE 29. Linear regression relationships between biotic and physical environmental gradients (derived by principal components analysis) at reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin sampled 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	59
TABLE 30. Linear regression models between the snag and log gradient and 4 vegetation environmental gradients (derived by principal components analysis) at reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin sampled 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	60
TABLE 31. Analysis of variance results for physical variables by basin orientation. SS = sum of squares. v = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	61
TABLE 32. Significant ($P \leq 0.05$) relationships between basin orientation and the 5 abiotic environment and channel variables. Results are based on individual analysis of variance tests. Mean and standard errors of untransformed variables are presented. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	61
TABLE 33. Analysis of variance results for vegetation classes by basin orientation. SS = sum of squares. v = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	62
TABLE 34. Significant ($P \leq 0.05$) relationships between basin orientation and the 7 vegetation classes. Results are based on individual analysis of variance tests. Mean and standard errors of untransformed percent coverage are presented. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	62
TABLE 35. Analysis of variance results for woody debris variables by basin orientation. SS = sum of squares. v = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	63
TABLE 36. Significant ($P \leq 0.05$) relationships between basin orientation and the 5 woody debris variables. Results are based on individual analysis of variance tests. Mean and standard errors of untransformed percent coverage are presented. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	63

TABLE 37. Channel type definitions based on Rosgen's (1994) classification.	68
TABLE 38. Environmental variables correlated ($P \leq 0.10$) with bird species richness. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	73
TABLE 39. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and bird species richness. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	74
TABLE 40. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to bird species richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	74
TABLE 41. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between bird species richness and precipitation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	74
TABLE 42. Correlations between bird species richness and environmental gradients based on principal component analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.10$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	76
TABLE 43. Environmental variables correlated ($P \leq 0.10$) with bird abundance. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	78
TABLE 44. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and bird abundance. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	79
TABLE 45. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to total bird abundance at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	79
TABLE 46. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between bird abundance and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	79
TABLE 47. Principal components analysis on bird family abundance. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	81

TABLE 48. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) between bird abundance principal component analysis (PCA) factors and environmental gradient PCA factors. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	82
TABLE 49. Descriptive statistics for the richness of 3 bird groups base on habitat associations. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	83
TABLE 50. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between bird species richness and 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) for 3 bird groups based on major habitat conditions. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive relationships, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	85
TABLE 51. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and the richness of 3 bird groups based on major habitat conditions. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	86
TABLE 52. Final regression model of key variables related to bird habitat group richness at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	86
TABLE 53. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between aqua-dependent bird richness and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	87
TABLE 54. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between riparian-meadow-associated bird richness and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	88
TABLE 55. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between bird habitat group variables and principal component analysis factors. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. r = correlation coefficients. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	90
TABLE 56. Summary of regression relationships between bird habitat groups and environmental variables that showed a gradient of change from aqua-dependent to upland-associated. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	91
TABLE 57. Descriptive statistics for measures of frequency for bird occurrence. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	93

TABLE 58. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations among bird frequency variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Shading indicates redundant cells. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	94
TABLE 59. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between bird frequency class variables and normalized environmental variables at reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin sampled 1995 to 1996. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$.	95
TABLE 60. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups environmental variables ($n = 22$) and the number of bird species in each of 2 frequency classes. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	96
TABLE 61. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to bird frequency classes at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	96
TABLE 62. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between the number of rare bird species and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	96
TABLE 63. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between bird frequency class variables and principal component analysis factors. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. r = correlation coefficients. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	97
TABLE 64. Beta diversity index values for birds within and among 9 environmental gradients. The number of species per segment, the number of species only occurring at upper ("gains") or lower ("losses") ends of the gradient, and Whittaker's beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker's beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. Bolded values indicate species turnover related to beta diversity. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	99
TABLE 65. Species present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 2 abiotic environmental gradient. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	102
TABLE 66. Species present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of the snag and log gradient (derived by principal components analysis). Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	104

TABLE 67. Species present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 vegetation gradients. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	106
TABLE 68. Ranking of environmental gradients by their contribution to bird beta diversity in the Lake Tahoe basin.	108
TABLE 69. Beta diversity index values for birds among basin orientations. The number of species per segment, the number of species only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. N = north, E = east, S = south, W = west side of the basin. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	109
TABLE 70. Bird species present on greater than one reach and absent from one or more basin orientations. X’s indicate where species was present. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	109
TABLE 71. Correlations among measures of bird alpha diversity. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Shading indicates redundant cells. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	111
TABLE 72. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and mammal species richness. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	121
TABLE 73. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to mammal species richness. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	121
TABLE 74. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between mammal species richness and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. v = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	122
TABLE 75. Correlations between mammal richness and environmental gradients based on principal component analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.10$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	123

TABLE 76. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and mammal species abundance. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	125
TABLE 77. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to small mammal abundance (without deer mouse). Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	126
TABLE 78. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between small mammal abundance and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	126
TABLE 79. Principal components analysis of small mammal community composition and abundance based on Sherman trap data gathered at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Bolded values indicate variables most associated with each factor.	128
TABLE 80. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between small mammal abundance gradients and environmental gradients (as defined by principal components analysis). Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively.	129
TABLE 81. Descriptive statistics for the richness of 2 mammal groups based on habitat associations. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	130
TABLE 82. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) between species richness for 2 mammal groups and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	132
TABLE 83. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and species richness for 2 mammal groups. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	133
TABLE 84. Final linear regression model of key environmental variables in relation to species richness of 2 habitat groups (aquatic–riparian–meadow and upland associates). Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	133

TABLE 85. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between aquatic-riparian-meadow–associate mammal richness and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	134
TABLE 86. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between upland-associated mammal species richness and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	136
TABLE 87. Correlations between mammal habitat group variables and principal component analysis factors. Bolded values indicate significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$). r = correlation coefficients. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	138
TABLE 88. Summary of contrasting relationships between the richness of 2 mammal habitat groups (aquatic–riparian–meadow and upland associates) and environmental variables. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	138
TABLE 89. Descriptive statistics for measures of frequency for mammal occurrence. Species considered rare if frequency of occurrence $< 10\%$, common if $\geq 10\%$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	140
TABLE 90. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations among mammal frequency variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Shading indicates redundant cells. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	141
TABLE 91. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between mammal frequency variables and environmental gradients, as defined by principal components analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	141
TABLE 92. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and the number of species in each of 2 frequency classes. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	142
TABLE 93. Final backwards regression model of key environmental variables related to mammal frequency variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	143
TABLE 94. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between the number of rare mammal species and elevation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	144

TABLE 95. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between mammal frequency variables and environmental gradients (as defined by principal components analysis). Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	144
TABLE 96. Beta diversity index values for mammals within and among 10 environmental gradients. The number of species per segment, the number of species only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s (1972) beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. Bolded values indicate turnover. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	146
TABLE 97. Mammal species present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 abiotic environmental gradient. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. All taxa had a frequency of occurrence of $\leq 10\%$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	149
TABLE 98. Mammal species present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of the snag and log gradient (derived by principal components analysis). All taxa had a frequency of occurrence of $\leq 10\%$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	150
TABLE 99. Species present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 vegetation gradients. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	151
TABLE 100. Ranking of environmental gradients by their contribution to mammal beta diversity based on presence.	152
TABLE 101. Beta diversity index values for mammals among basin orientations. The number of species per segment, the number of species only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. N = north, E = east, S = south, W = west side of the basin. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	153
TABLE 102. Mammal species occurring on more than one reach and absent from one or more basin orientations. X’s indicate where species was present. Sample reaches per orientation are indicated. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	154

TABLE 103. Correlations among measures of mammal alpha diversity. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Shading indicates redundant cells. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	155
TABLE 104. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) between invertebrate family richness and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	166
TABLE 105. Variables selected in step-wise regressions for invertebrate family richness and 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$). N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	167
TABLE 106. Final backwards regression model for invertebrate family richness relative to environmental variables. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	167
TABLE 107. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between environmental gradients (as defined by principal components analysis) and invertebrate family richness and Lepidoptera genus richness. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	168
TABLE 108. Lepidoptera genera detected and their frequency of occurrence. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	169
TABLE 109. Descriptive statistics for the richness of 3 family groups based on habitat associations. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	170
TABLE 110. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) between family richness for 3 invertebrate groups and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	173
TABLE 111. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and family richness for 3 invertebrate groups. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	174
TABLE 112. Final linear regression model of environmental variables in relation to family richness of 3 habitat groups (aquatic, semi-aquatic, and terrestrial). Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	174

TABLE 113. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between aquatic invertebrate family richness and elevation and precipitation with disturbance as a covariate. SS = sum of squares. ν = degrees of freedom. MS = mean square.	175
TABLE 114. Correlations between invertebrate group variables and principal component analysis factors. Bolded values indicate significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$). r = correlation coefficients. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	178
TABLE 115. Descriptive statistics for measures of frequency class variables for invertebrate family occurrence. Species considered rare if frequency of occurrence $< 10\%$, common if $\geq 10\%$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	179
TABLE 116. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations among invertebrate frequency class variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Shading indicates redundant cells. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	179
TABLE 117. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between invertebrate family frequency class variables and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	180
TABLE 118. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and the number of families in each of 2 invertebrate frequency classes in the Lake Tahoe basin. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at 56 sample reaches in 1996.	181
TABLE 119. Final backwards regression model of key environmental variables related to invertebrate frequency class variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	181
TABLE 120. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between invertebrate frequency class variables and environmental gradients (as defined by principal components analysis). Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	182
TABLE 121. Beta diversity index values for invertebrate families within and among 9 environmental gradients. The number of families per segment, the number of families only occurring at upper ("gains") or lower ("losses") ends of the gradient, and Whittaker's beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker's beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. Bolded values indicate turnover. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	183

TABLE 122. Families present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 2 abiotic environmental gradients. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	186
TABLE 123. Families present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of the snag and log gradient (derived by principal components analysis). Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	189
TABLE 124. Families present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 vegetation gradients. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	191
TABLE 125. Ranking of environmental gradients by their contribution to invertebrate beta diversity based on presence. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	194
TABLE 126. Beta diversity index values for invertebrates among basin orientations. The number of families per segment, the number of families only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. N = north, E = east, S = south, W = west side of the basin. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	195
TABLE 127. Invertebrate families present on greater than one reach and absent from one or more basin orientations. X’s indicate where a family was present. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	196
TABLE 128. Correlations between 5 variables describing invertebrate diversity (richness) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Shaded areas indicate redundant areas of the correlation matrix. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.10$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 56$) in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	198
TABLE 129. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) between plant species and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	209
TABLE 130. Variables selected in step-wise regressions for plant species richness and 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$). N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	210
TABLE 131. Final backwards regression model for plant species richness relative to environmental variables. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	210
TABLE 132. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between plant species richness and 7 environmental gradients, as defined by principal components analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	213

TABLE 133. Descriptive statistics for the richness of 2 plant species groups based on habitat associations. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	213
TABLE 134. Significant correlations ($P \leq 0.10$) between species richness for 2 plant groups (aquatic–riparian–meadow and upland) and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	216
TABLE 135. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and species richness for 2 plant groups. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	217
TABLE 136. Final linear regression model of key environmental variables in relation to species richness of 2 plant species groups (aquatic–riparian–meadow and upland–associates). Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	217
TABLE 137. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between plant habitat group variables and principal component analysis factors. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. r = correlation coefficients. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	219
TABLE 138. Descriptive statistics for measures of frequency class variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	220
TABLE 139. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between plant species frequency class variables and environmental gradients, as defined by principal components analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	221
TABLE 140. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and the number of plant species in each of 2 frequency classes. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	222
TABLE 141. Final backwards regression model of key environmental variables related to plant species frequency class variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	223
TABLE 142. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between plant frequency class variables and environmental gradients, as defined by principal components analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	223

TABLE 143. Beta diversity index values for plants within and among 9 environmental gradients. The number of species per segment, the number of species only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s (1972) beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. Bolded values indicate turnover. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	224
TABLE 144. Plant species present on $\geq 10\%$ of all reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 abiotic environmental gradients. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	227
TABLE 145. Plant species present on $\geq 10\%$ of all reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of the snag and log gradient (derived by principal components analysis). Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	229
TABLE 146. Species present on $\geq 10\%$ of all reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 vegetation gradients. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	231
TABLE 147. Ranking of environmental gradients by their contribution to plant beta diversity based on presence. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	233
TABLE 148. Beta diversity index values for plants among basin orientations. The number of species per segment, the number of species only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. N = north, E = east, S = south, W = west side of the basin. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	234
TABLE 149. Plant species occurring on $\geq 10\%$ of all reaches and absent from one or more basin orientations. X’s indicate where species was present. Sample reaches per orientation are indicated. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	235
TABLE 150. Correlations between 5 variables describing plant diversity in the Lake Tahoe basin. Bolded values indicate $P \geq 0.05$. Dashes indicate irrelevant significance values. Shaded areas indicate redundant areas of the correlation matrix. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	236
TABLE 151. Significant correlations ($P \geq 0.10$) between macrofungi richness and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	246

TABLE 152. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and macrofungi genera richness. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	247
TABLE 153. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to macrofungi genera richness. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	247
TABLE 154. Correlations between macrofungi genera richness and 7 environmental gradients, as defined by principal components analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.10$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	248
TABLE 155. Significant correlations ($P \geq 0.10$) between the richness of 2 macrofungi groups and 22 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	249
TABLE 156. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and 3 measures of fungi genera richness. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	249
TABLE 157. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to macrolichen genus richness. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	249
TABLE 158. Descriptive statistics for frequency classes for macrofungi genera. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	251
TABLE 159. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between macrofungi rarity variables and environmental gradients, as defined by principal components analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	252
TABLE 160. Variables selected in step-wise regressions between 3 groups of environmental variables ($n = 22$) and the number of genera in each of 2 macrofungi frequency classes. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	253
TABLE 161. Final backwards regression model of key environmental variables related to macrofungi rarity variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	253

TABLE 162. Correlations between macrofungi rarity variables and environmental gradients, as defined by principal components analysis. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.10$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	254
TABLE 163. Beta diversity index values for macrofungi within and among 9 environmental gradients. The number of species per segment, the number of species only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A revised version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. Bolded values indicate turnover. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	255
TABLE 164. Macromungi genera present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 abiotic environmental gradient. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. All taxa had a frequency of occurrence of $\leq 10\%$.	257
TABLE 165. Macromungi genera present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of the snag and log gradient (derived by principal components analysis). All taxa had a frequency of occurrence of $\leq 10\%$. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	259
TABLE 166. Fungi genera present on 2 or more reaches and absent from lower or upper segments of each of 4 vegetation gradients. Gradients were defined by principal components analysis. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	260
TABLE 167. Ranking of environmental gradients by their contribution to macrofungi beta diversity based on presence in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	261
TABLE 168. Beta diversity index values for macrofungi among basin orientations. The number of genera per segment, the number of genera only occurring at upper (“gains”) or lower (“losses”) ends of the gradient, and Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_w) are displayed. A modified version of Whittaker’s beta diversity index (β_{wMIN}) is also displayed. N = north, E = east, S = south, W = west side of the basin. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	262
TABLE 169. Macromungi genera present on 2 or more reaches and absent from one or more basin orientations. X’s indicate where species was present. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	263
TABLE 170. Correlations among measures of macrofungi alpha diversity. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. Shading indicates redundant cells. Data were collected at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	264
TABLE 171. Taxonomic groups and their richness in the Lake Tahoe basin.	270

TABLE 172. Correlations between the alpha diversity of 5 taxonomic groups. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.10$. Shading indicates redundant cells. Correlations between invertebrates and all other taxa were based on data collected in 1996 only, ($n = 56$ reaches), all other correlations were based on data collected in 1995 and 1996 ($n = 80$ reaches) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	270
TABLE 173. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between the richness of 5 taxonomic groups and environmental features as described by environmental gradients and individual variables. Bolded indicates $P \leq 0.05$. Dashes indicate non-significant correlations.	272
TABLE 174. Adjusted R^2 values for final multiple regressions between the richness of each taxonomic group and 22 environmental variables.	279
TABLE 175. Average beta diversity index values (modified Whittaker's index) for each environmental gradient for each taxonomic group.	280
TABLE 176. Rank values for 8 gradients relative to beta diversity for each of 5 taxonomic groups. A rank of 1 indicates the highest beta diversity and 8 indicates the lowest beta diversity.	280
TABLE 177. Proportion of taxonomic change attributed to turnover between lower and upper halves of each of 8 environmental gradients for 5 taxonomic groups.	281
TABLE 178. Proportion of all taxa observed per sample reach for 5 taxonomic groups.	281
TABLE 179. Percent of all taxa shifting (gains, losses, turnovers) along environmental gradients.	282
TABLE 180. Lake selections and their characteristics based on 4 gradients: orientation, elevation, size, and disturbance. Lakes were surveyed in 1997 and 1998 in the Lake Tahoe basin.	295
TABLE 181. Environmental variables described for 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Transformations applied are indicated, where x = the untransformed variable. Dashes indicate no transformation was used.	303
TABLE 182. Vegetation series and associated derived vegetation types for lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	305
TABLE 183. Descriptive statistics of environmental features across lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Frequency is the percent of sample units at which an environmental variable had a value greater than zero. Dashes indicate that the statistic was not applicable.	308
TABLE 184. Fish detected during amphibian and reptile surveys at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Frequency is the percent of sample units occupied.	313
TABLE 185. Significant correlations of trout and minnow presence with environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. P = positive correlation; n.s. = non-significant.	313

TABLE 186. Focal invertebrates detected during invertebrate dip net samples at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	314
TABLE 187. Significant correlations among measures of abiotic environmental characteristics. Bolded values indicate $P = 0.01$. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Dashes indicate non-significant correlations and redundancies.	315
TABLE 188. Abiotic macro-scale variables principal components analysis. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	315
TABLE 189. Significant correlations among measures of littoral zone plant and substrate characteristics. Bolded values indicate $P = 0.01$. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Dashes indicate non-significant correlations and redundancies.	316
TABLE 190. Principal components analysis of substrate and aquatic vegetation composition at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	316
TABLE 191. Significant correlations among measures of terrestrial vegetation characteristics. Bolded values indicate $P = 0.01$. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	317
TABLE 192. Principal components analysis of vegetation community composition at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	317
TABLE 193. Significant ($P = 0.10$) correlations among environmental gradients. Bolded values indicate $P = 0.05$. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Dashes indicate non-significant correlations and redundancies.	317
TABLE 194. Average values for road density index in classes derived from 3 Recreation Opportunity Spectrum categories. Data are from 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	318
TABLE 195. Significant correlations of the road density index with 15 environmental variables. Data are from 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	319
TABLE 196. Sample units with the greatest bird species richness (average number of species detected per point count), site bird diversity (total number of species at a sample unit), bird abundance (average number of individual birds detected per point count), and total abundance (total number of individual birds at a sample unit), out of 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	321
TABLE 197. Significant correlations of bird species richness with 8 environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	322
TABLE 198. Variables selected in stepwise regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables and bird species richness. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	323

TABLE 199. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to bird species richness at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	323
TABLE 200. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between bird species richness and elevation with disturbance (road density) as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	323
TABLE 201. Regression model of key environmental variables related to site bird diversity at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	324
TABLE 202. Significant correlations of bird species richness and site bird diversity with environmental gradients at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	324
TABLE 203. Significant correlations of bird abundance with environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	325
TABLE 204. Variables selected in stepwise regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables and bird abundance. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	325
TABLE 205. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to bird abundance at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	325
TABLE 206. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between bird abundance and precipitation with road density index as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	326
TABLE 207. Significant correlations of bird abundance with environmental gradients at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	326
TABLE 208. Descriptive statistics for the bird species richness and abundance of 3 habitat groups. Species richness is the average number of species per point count. Site bird diversity is the total number of bird species per sample unit. Abundance is the average abundance of individual birds per point count. Total abundance is the total number of individual birds per sample unit. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	327
TABLE 209. Maximum values for bird species richness, site bird diversity, and bird abundance at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	327
TABLE 210. Correlations between bird species richness for 3 habitat groups and 25 environmental variables. Bolded values indicate $P \leq 0.05$. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. We did not calculate correlations between sample unit characteristics, some vegetation characteristics, and upland bird species richness; thus, "n/a" is reported for these pairings.	330

TABLE 211. Variables selected in stepwise regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables against species richness of birds of 3 habitat associations. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Dashes are used where a variable was not selected for a particular habitat group. We did not perform regressions of upland bird species richness on sample unit characteristics and some vegetation characteristics; thus, “n/a” is reported for these pairings.	331
TABLE 212. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to aquatic bird species richness at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	331
TABLE 213. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between aquatic bird species richness and elevation with road density index as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	332
TABLE 214. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between aquatic bird species richness and elevation with road density index as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 87$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. One outlier, Grass Lake at Luther Pass, was removed from the analysis. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	332
TABLE 215. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to riparian–meadow bird species richness at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	335
TABLE 216. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between riparian–meadow bird species richness and elevation with disturbance (road density) as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	335
TABLE 217. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to upland bird species richness at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient	336
TABLE 218. Significant correlations between aquatic bird species richness and environmental gradients. Data were collected at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	337
TABLE 219. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between average abundance of 3 bird groups and 25 environmental variables. N and P indicate non-significant (n.s.) negative and positive correlations, respectively. We did not calculate correlations between sample unit characteristics, some vegetation characteristics, and upland bird abundance; thus, “n/a” is reported for these pairings.	338

TABLE 220. Variables selected in stepwise regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables against average abundance of birds of 3 habitat associations. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Dashes are used where a variable was not selected for a particular habitat group. We did not perform regressions of upland bird abundance on sample unit characteristics and some vegetation characteristics; thus, “n/a” is reported for these pairings.	339
TABLE 221. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to aquatic bird abundance at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	339
TABLE 222. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between aquatic bird abundance and precipitation with disturbance (road density) as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	340
TABLE 223. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to riparian–meadow bird abundance at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	341
TABLE 224. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between riparian–meadow bird abundance and elevation with road density index as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	341
TABLE 225. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to upland bird abundance at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	342
TABLE 226. Significant correlations between bird abundance and environmental gradients. Data are from 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	342
TABLE 227. Summary of regression relationships between bird habitat groups and environmental variables that showed a gradient of change from aquatic to upland birds. Thicker parts of the bars represent greater influence. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	343
TABLE 228. Significant correlations of amphibian species richness with environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	344
TABLE 229. Variables selected in stepwise regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables and amphibian species richness. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	344
TABLE 230. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to amphibian species richness at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	344

TABLE 231. Significant correlations of littoral zone plant taxonomic richness with environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	347
TABLE 232. Variables selected in stepwise regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables and littoral zone plant taxonomic richness. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	347
TABLE 233. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to littoral zone plant taxonomic richness at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	347
TABLE 234. Significant correlations of littoral zone plant taxonomic richness with environmental gradients at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	348
TABLE 235. Significant correlations of littoral zone plant frequency with environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	349
TABLE 236. Variables selected in stepwise regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables and littoral zone plant frequency. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	349
TABLE 237. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to littoral zone plant frequency at sample lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	349
TABLE 238. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between littoral zone plant frequency and elevation with road density index as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	350
TABLE 239. Summary of relationships observed between with alpha diversity measures and environmental gradients associated with 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Black circles represent the strongest correlations, gray circles the next strongest, striped circles the third strongest, and open circles the fourth strongest. Only significant correlations are displayed. Correlations among aquatic plant diversity and substrate–aquatic vegetation gradients were not explored because aquatic vegetation was included in those gradients.	356
TABLE 240. Significant correlations of environmental variables with cowbird abundance at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	362
TABLE 241. Variables selected in linear regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables and cowbird abundance. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	363

TABLE 242. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to average cowbird abundance at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	363
TABLE 243. Analysis of covariance exploring the relationship between cowbird abundance and elevation with disturbance (road density) as a covariate. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. SS = sum of squares; v = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square.	363
TABLE 244. Regression model of road density index and average cowbird abundance at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	364
TABLE 245. Correlation and regression coefficients for brown-headed cowbird abundance in relation to 22 environmental variables. Data were collected at lotic riparian sample sites ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	365
TABLE 246. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to brown-headed cowbird abundance at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	366
TABLE 247. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between beaver presence and 22 environmental variables. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	366
TABLE 248. Regression relationships between beaver presence and 22 environmental variables at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Environmental variables were transformed. N = negative association, P = positive association with $P \leq 0.10$.	367
TABLE 249. Final logistic regression model for relationship between environmental variables and beaver presence. Data were collected on sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	367
TABLE 250. Amphibian species detected at lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin ($n = 88$).	370
TABLE 251. Significant correlations of Pacific treefrog abundance with environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	371
TABLE 252. Final regression model of key environmental variables related to Pacific treefrog abundance at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. Beta = partial regression coefficient.	371
TABLE 253. Final logistic regression model of key environmental variables related to western toad presence at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	373
TABLE 254. Significant correlations of bullfrog presence with environmental variables at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	374
TABLE 255. Garter snakes detected in surveys of lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	375

TABLE 256. Significant correlations of environmental variables with garter snake presence at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	376
TABLE 257. Variables selected in forward logistic regressions of 3 groups of environmental variables and garter snake presence. N = negative association and P = positive association at $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded = selected in the final regression at $P \leq 0.05$ on key variables from each group of environmental variables. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	376
TABLE 258. Final logistic regression model of key environmental variables related to garter snake presence. Data were collected at lentic sample units ($n = 88$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	377
TABLE 259. Co-occurrence of garter snakes with trout, minnows, and treefrogs at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	377
TABLE 260. Garter snakes detected in surveys of lotic sample units ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	377
TABLE 261. Significant ($P \leq 0.10$) correlations between <i>Thamnophis</i> presence and 22 environmental variables at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. N = negative association, P = positive correlations.	378
TABLE 262. Logistic regression relationships between <i>Thamnophis</i> presence and 22 environmental variables at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin. N = negative association, P = positive association with $P \leq 0.10$. Bolded indicates variable was selected in final regression model.	379
TABLE 263. Final logistic regression model of key variables related to <i>Thamnophis</i> presence at sample reaches ($n = 80$) in the Lake Tahoe basin.	379

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1. Characteristics of watersheds in the Lake Tahoe basin. Geology is categorized as granitic (G) or volcanic (V). Stream order follows Strahler (1957). Disturbance is described by the percent of the watershed in each of 3 disturbance classes (urban/rural, semi-primitive roaded, and semi-primitive non-roaded) (USDA no date) and the overall disturbance ranking (1 = low, 2 = moderate, 3 = high). Bolded indicates watersheds selected for sampling.	417
APPENDIX 2. Setting and experience associated with each development class used to stratify watersheds and reach selection (USDA no date).	418
APPENDIX 3. Year in which reaches were sampled in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1995 (1) and 1996 (2).	419
APPENDIX 4. Observers and associated data collected in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1995 (1), and 1996 (2).	420
APPENDIX 5. Vegetation types (Sawyer and Keeler-Wolf 1995) ($n = 29$) and associated derived vegetation classes ($n = 8$).	421
APPENDIX 6. Snag and log decay classes. Decay classes for logs follow Reade (1985). Decay classes for snags follow Maser et al. (1979).	422
APPENDIX 7. Bird species detected and their group affiliations. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	423
APPENDIX 8. Mammal species detected and their group affiliations. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	426
APPENDIX 9. Invertebrate families detected and their group affiliations. Data were collected on 56 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1996.	427
APPENDIX 10. Vascular plant species detected and their group affiliations. Data were collected on 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	432
APPENDIX 11. Fleshy fungi and lichen genera detected during sampling at 80 sample reaches in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1995 to 1996.	443
APPENDIX 12. Number of bird species shared between sample years (1995 and 1996) for each reach based on point count bird data.	444
APPENDIX 13. Number of invertebrate families shared between sample years (1995 and 1996) for each reach based on pitfall trap data.	445
APPENDIX 14. Number of invertebrate families shared between sample years (1995 and 1996) for each reach based on sweepnet data.	446
APPENDIX 15. Number of vertebrate taxa shared between sample years (1995 and 1996) for each reach based on riparian search data.	447

APPENDIX 16. Number of invertebrate families shared between sample years (1995 and 1996) for each reach based on riparian search data.	448
APPENDIX 17. Number of plant species shared between sample years (1995 and 1996) for each reach based riparian search data.	449
APPENDIX 18. Number of fungi genera shared between sample years (1995 and 1996) for each reach based on riparian search data.	450
APPENDIX 19. Bird species known to regularly occur in the Lake Tahoe basin but not detected during point count, riparian search, or channel search sampling in 1995 and 1996.	451
APPENDIX 20. Classes used for stratification of lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Meadows were stratified by elevation and orientation only; therefore, dashes are indicated for size and disturbance classes.	452
APPENDIX 21. Observers (identified by 3 initials) and associated surveys performed at lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin in 1997 and 1998.	454
APPENDIX 22. Abiotic variables for each lentic sample unit in the Lake Tahoe basin, 1997-1998.	455
APPENDIX 23. Measures of alpha diversity at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin.	457
APPENDIX 24. Plants detected in aquatic surveys at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Frequency is the percent of sample units occupied.	461
APPENDIX 25. Bird species detected at lentic sample units and their habitat group affiliations. Data were collected at 88 lentic sample units in the Lake Tahoe basin. Frequency is the percent of sample units occupied.	463

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

An effort such as this cannot be accomplished without the support and cooperation of a vast number of individuals and organizations. Funding was provided by the California Tahoe Conservancy through Rick Robinson, and U.S. Forest Service through the Wildlife, Fish and Rare Plants program. Support and flexibility was provided by Chris Jahuola, Joelle Buffa, Nancy Green, and Jeff Reiner of the U. S. Forest Service, which made the project possible. Dr. Michael Morrison supported the majority of this effort as part of Pat's doctoral dissertation, supplied invaluable guidance at critical junctures in the design and implementation of the study, reviewed numerous drafts of lengthy proposals and manuscripts. Dr. Reginald Barrett generously offered to take over as graduate advisor, and in so doing patiently reviewed of hundreds of pages of writing in various states of disarray and greatly assisted in the production of a quality manuscript.

One of the many benefits of such a large project is the opportunity to get to know and work with so many talented, dedicated, and wacko (you know who you are) individuals. We are particularly grateful to the following individuals. To Jeff Reiner for allowing us to conduct this project on his behalf, and for keeping the institutional gods happy. To Rick Robinson for providing funding, faith, and strong, friendly helpers. To Kathy Campion for entrusting us with her beloved ducks. To Kris McIntyre for her incredible dedication and hard work, for her assistance in managing field crews, for keying mountains of invertebrates, for acting as curator of the mollusk museum, for learning to love spiders, and finally for highlighting the wonders of oatmeal stout. To John Keane for orienting the crew to the basin, its birds and mammals, and its splendor. To Susan Der for her ability to handle all the personnel needs without going postal. To Joe Ortega for finding us all the best junker vehicles and to Steve Maxwell for keeping them running. To Sylvia Mori and Jim Baldwin for their statistical expertise and advice. For GIS assistance, we thank Gabe Garcia, Donald Storm, Garrett Villanueva, German Whitley, and Peter Wilt. And finally, to all the assistants for their outstanding work on this incredibly demanding project—may they rest in peace: Jean Amidon, William Amy, DeAnna Barbaria, Aaron Bilyeu, Samantha Butler, George Cella, Roger Cook, Kimberly Cox, Ian Doleman, Jennifer Ebert, Sheryl Ferguson, Amanda Gaide, Jackie Garcia, Gary Grunder, Brian Igersheim, Victor Inera, Kerensa King, Cindy Lawrence, Peter Maholland, Josh Metz, Jason Moghaddas, Julie Niceswanger, Elizabeth Otterson, Frank Pendleton, James Powell, Mark Spittler, Eric Stackhouse, and Rebecca St. Amands.

Access was key to the success of this project. The objectives of the study were highly dependent on the ability to locate sample sites wherever they happened to fall randomly on the map. As such, a debt of gratitude is owed to the many land owners and managers that granted us access to their lands, including California State Parks, Nevada State Parks, Homewood Ski Association, Heavenly Ski Association, Glenbrook Homeowners Association, Incline Village Parks and Recreation, Incline Village Golf Course, Edgewood Golf Course, Lake Tahoe Golf Course, Incline Lake Homeowner's Association, Round Hill and Sweetwater sewage treatment facilities, C.B. Ebright, Tahoe Paradise Residential Improvement District, and Wayne Newton Inc. Permits necessary for data collection were provided by the California Department of Fish and Game, Nevada Division of Wildlife, and Nevada Department of Transportation.